

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,770	09/30/2003	Barrett Morris Kreiner	BS030265 (03-BS022)	4441
Scott P. Zimme	7590 02/09/2007		EXAM	INER
P.O. Box 3822			WONG, ALLEN C	
Cary, NC 2751	9		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			•	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary Exa	674,770 miner n Wong on the cover sheet with the c	KREINER ET AL.	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE CENTERS OF THE MAILING DATE CONTINUED TO THE MAILING DATE OF THE MAILING DATE	n Wong	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SINGLED WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE (1) of Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). If after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex particular states.			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS S WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). It after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	on the cover sheet with the c	2621	
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE Of Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). If after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex part		orrespondence addre	ess
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex par</i> .	OF THIS COMMUNICATION no event, however, may a reply be time y and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	N. hely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex part 			
Disposition of Claims	xcept for formal matters, pro		nerits is
•			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn fro 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or elected.			
Application Papers			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 9/30/03 is/are: a) accepte Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination 	ng(s) be held in abeyance. See required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorical All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have application from the International Bureau (PC * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e been received. e been received in Application ocuments have been receive T Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National St	age
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/26/04.			

Application/Control Number: 10/674,770

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claim 1-9, 11-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Parnian (6,538,623).

Regarding claims 1 and 12, Parnian discloses a method and a video recorder, comprising:

a processor communicating with memory, the memory for storing video data of an event captured by a camera, the video data comprising a series of picture frames (col.9, ln.7-19, note in fig.2 the use of a CPU for processing data and communicating with memory stored in element 22, 51 and 52, and video data is captured by video camera 35a);

a loop buffer also storing video data of the event captured by the camera (fig.3, element 132); and

a set of rules stored in the memory, the set of rules determining when to transfer the contents of the loop buffer into the memory (fig.2, element 22 stores rules for determining when transfer of contents in event log 132; col.18, ln.41-50),

wherein the video recorder utilizes the loop buffer to provide video data preceding the event (fig.3, note scene log 120, event log 132, photo log 126, etc. contain time-date stamps to check for preceding events as seen in fig.4a-4g for scanning through past cases, crime scenes, crime locations, evidence, photos, etc. to provide video data preceding the event).

Regarding claims 2, 3, 13 and 14, Parnian discloses wherein the memory comprises a mass-storage device, the mass storage device storing the video data of the event (fig.2, element 51 and 52).

Regarding claims 4, 5 and 15, Parnian discloses wherein the memory comprises a memory card (fig.2, element 53).

Regarding claims 6 and 16, Parnian discloses further comprising an interface to a communications network (fig.2, element 90).

Regarding claims 7, Parnian discloses wherein the set of rules specifies vehicular data that causes a transfer of the contents of the loop buffer into the memory devices memory (fig.3, note vehicular data can be stored in a variety of sections, ie. element 102, 108, 144, etc.).

Regarding claims 8, 11, 17 and 20, Parnian discloses further comprising a switch to transfer the contents of the loop buffer into the memory (fig.2, element 22 stores rules for determining when transfer of contents in event log 132; col.18, ln.41-50).

Regarding claims 9 and 18, Parnian discloses wherein the loop buffer also stores audio data of the event captured by a microphone (fig.3, element 103).

Application/Control Number: 10/674,770 Page 4

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 10, Parnian discloses further comprising an interface with a vehicle controller to transfer the contents of the loop buffer into the memory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 10 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parnian (6,538,623) in view of Shamosh (5,144,661).

Regarding claims 10 and 19, Parnian does not specifically disclose interfacing with a vehicle controller to transfer video data of the event. However, Shamosh teaches interfacing with a vehicle controller to transfer video data of the event (note fig.1, modem 30 within the vehicular security system of fig.3 is utilized to establish interfacing for transferring video data of event captured by video/audio recording unit 24). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Parnian and Shamosh, as a whole, for providing an accurate, cost-effective, efficient, robust way of recording audio/visual data in surveillance applications (Shamosh col.1, In.49-55).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen Wong whose telephone number is (571) 272-7341.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Thursdays from 8am-6pm Flextime.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Groody can be reached on (571) 272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2621

AW 2/5/07